## Placement Proficiency 2025

|  | i luocificiti i t   | oficiency 2025  |   |
|--|---|---|---|
| Performance Review A   | High Points   | Mid Points  | Low Points  |
| SAE Description & Explanation  | 3   | 2 to 1  | 0 to -1   |
| Performance Review A, Question 1 Score:                                      | Clearly and specifically describes what<br>the project is and how member started<br>it. If project involves non-cash<br>exchanges or trades they are<br>specifically explained. | Provides a basic explanation of the<br>project but lacks clear and specific<br>detail of what project is and how<br>member started it. If present non-cash<br>or trade arrangements are mentioned<br>but lack specific details. | Provides a vague/unclear<br>understanding of what the project is<br>and/or how member started it. If<br>present, non-cash or trade<br>arrangements are mentioned but do<br>not provide enough detail to be clearly<br>understood. |
| Roles, Responsibilities and/or   | 1   | 0.5   | 0   |
| Management Decisions Made<br>Performance Review A, Question 2<br>Description | Response demonstrates a clear<br>description of roles, responsibilities<br>and/or management decisions made<br>related to their SAE program.                                    | Response demonstrates a limited<br>description of roles, responsibilities<br>and/or management decisions made<br>related to their SAE program.  | Response demonstrates little or no<br>description of roles, responsibilities,<br>and/or management decisions made<br>related to their SAE program.  |
| Score:   |   |   | 1   |
| Roles, Responsibilities and/or<br>Management Decisions Made                  | 2<br>Response demonstrates significant  | <b>1.5 to 0.5</b><br>Response demonstrates limited  | 0 to -1<br>Response demonstrates no change or   |
| Performance Review A, Question 2<br>Change or progression (growth)           | change or progression (growth) of<br>roles, responsibilities and/or<br>management decisions made over<br>time period represented.   | change or progression (growth) of<br>roles, responsibilities and/or<br>management decisions made over<br>time period represented.   | progression (growth) of roles,<br>responsibilities and/or management<br>decisions made over time period<br>represented.   |
| Score:   |   |   |   |
| Challenges   | 1   | 0.5   | 0   |
| Performance Review A, Question 3<br>Explanation                              | Response demonstrates a complete<br>explanation of the challenge and steps<br>utilized to address the challenge.  | Response demonstrates a limited<br>explanation of the challenge and steps<br>utilized to address the challenge.   | Response demonstrates little or no explanation of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge.  |
| Score:   |   |   |   |
| Challenges   | 2   | 1.5 to 0.5  | 0 to -1   |
| Performance Review A, Question 3<br>Involvement                              | Response demonstrated candidate's complete involvement in addressing the challenge.   | Response demonstrated candidate's limited involvement in addressing the challenge.  | Response demonstrated no involvement in addressing the challenge.   |
| Score:   |   |   |   |
| Performance Review B   | High Points   | Mid Points  | Low Points  |
| Progress - Accomplishments &   | 3   | 2 to 1  | 0 to -1   |
| Awareness  | Response clearly identifies and   | Response identifies and describes an  | Response identifies and describes an  |
| Performance Review B, Question 1   | describes a measurable<br>accomplishment that influenced the<br>growth and success of the project.  | accomplishment that influenced the<br>growth and success of the project but<br>provides little clarity, detail or<br>measurability.   | accomplishment that had little or no<br>influence on the growth and success of<br>the project or provides no clarity, detail<br>or measurability.   |
| Score:   |   |   |   |
| Progress - Accomplishments &<br>Awareness                                    | 3<br>Bernance clearly identifies and  | 2 to 1  | 0 to -1   |
| Performance Review B, Question 2   | Response clearly identifies and<br>describes a measurable<br>accomplishment that influenced the<br>growth and success of the project.   | Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project but provides little clarity, detail or measurability.   | Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that had little or no influence on the growth and success of the project or provides no clarity, detail or measurability.   |
| Score:   |   | · ·   | 1   |

| Progress - Accomplishments &                                       |  | 245.4  | 0.45 4  |
|--|--|--|---|
| Awareness -  | 3  | 2 to 1   | 0 to -1   |
| Issue/Trend/Tech/Policy Impact<br>Performance Review B, Question 3 | Response clearly identifies one issue,<br>trend, technology or public policy that<br>impacted the project. Describes the<br>specific & measurable impact in detail.  | Response identifies an issue, trend,<br>technology or public policy that<br>impacted the project. Describes the<br>impact vaguely and/or impact  | Response does not identify a relevant<br>issue, trend, technology or public<br>policy that impacted the project. Impact<br>is poorly or not at all described or   |
|  |  | description is not specifically measurable.  | understood or had no measurable impact.   |
| Score:   |  | I  | · ·   |
| Performance Review C   | High Points  | Mid Points   | Low Points  |
| Career Exploration & Development                                   | 2  | 1  | 0 to -1   |
| Performance Review C, Question 1 Score:                            | Career objective is clear and in a specific career field. Career exploration and research steps to research this choice are described in detail.<br><b>NOTE:</b> Career objective does not have to be related to agriculture or natural            | Career objective is vague and is more<br>of a general field rather than a specific<br>career. Exploration and research steps<br>are not clear or specific.<br><b>NOTE:</b> Career objective does not have<br>to be related to agriculture or natural | Career objective is missing or not well<br>defined. Little to no exploration or<br>career research is described.<br><b>NOTE:</b> Career objective does not have<br>to be related to agriculture or natural<br>resources.                          |
|  | resources.   | resources.   |   |
| Career Exploration & Development                                   | 3  | 2 to 1   | 0 to -1   |
| Performance Review C #2<br>Opportunity 1                           | Experience/activity/opportunity<br>described is clear and specific.<br>Convincingly describes how the activity<br>provided preparation for named career.   | Experience/activity/opportunity is<br>vaguely described. How the activity<br>provided preparation for named career<br>is only vaguely shown or is unrealistic.   | Experience/activity/opportunity is<br>missing or not well described. How the<br>activity provided preparation for named<br>career is not established or is wildly<br>unrealistic.   |
| Score:   |  |  |   |
| Career Exploration & Development                                   | 3  | 2 to 1   | 0 to -1   |
| Performance Review C #2  | Experience/activity/opportunity described is clear and specific.   | Experience/activity/opportunity is vaguely described. How the activity   | Experience/activity/opportunity is missing or not well described. How the   |
| Opportunity 2  | Convincingly describes how the activity provided preparation for named career.   | provided preparation for named career<br>is only vaguely shown or is unrealistic.  | activity provided preparation for named<br>career is not established or is wildly<br>unrealistic.   |
| Score:   |  |  |   |
| SAE Placement  | High Points  | Mid Points   | Low Points  |
| SAE Size, Scope, Responsibilities                                  | 6 to 5   | 4.5 to 3   | 2 to 0  |
| Description  | Includes a complete SAE description,<br>size, scope, responsibilities or hours<br>and/or income.   | Limited or vague SAE description, size, scope, responsibilities or hours and/or income.  | Little to no SAE description, size,<br>scope and responsibilities or hours<br>and/or income.  |
| Description  |  |  |   |
| Score:   |  |  |   |
| SAE Size, Scope, Responsibilities                                  | 10 to 8  | 7.5 to 4   | 3 to 0  |
| Growth   | Shows significant growth, in<br>diversification, responsibilities or hours<br>and/or income and engagement over<br>time period and opportunities<br>represented.   | Shows limited growth, in diversification, responsibilities or hours and/or income and engagement over time period and opportunities represented.   | Shows little or no growth, in<br>diversification, responsibilities or hours<br>and/or income and engagement over<br>time period and opportunities<br>represented.   |
| Score:   |  |  |   |
| Learning Outcomes & Efficiency<br>Factors                          | High Points  | Mid Points   | Low Points  |
| Learning Outcomes & Efficiency                                     | 8 to 6   | 5 to 3   | 2 to 0  |
| Factors Score:   | 4 or more responses reflective of SAE.<br>Each clearly demonstrates measurable<br>improvement of efficiencies over time<br>period reported, or clearly identifies<br>how poor efficiencies led to decision<br>improving overall SAE enterprises or | 3-2 responses, or listed responses are<br>not clearly measurable or only partially<br>demonstrate improvements of<br>efficiencies over time period reported,<br>or partially identifies how poor<br>efficiencies led to decision improving           | 2-0 responses or responses are not<br>measurable or do not demonstrate or<br>relate improvements of efficiencies to<br>the SAE over time period reported, or<br>does not identify how poor efficiencies<br>lead to decision improving overall SAE |
| Primary Pathway Indicator - A1                                     | activities.<br>High Points   | overall SAE enterprises or activities.<br>Mid Points   | enterprises or activities.<br>Low Points  |

| Relevance to Project - A1   | 0.5 to  | 0   | 0   |
|---|---|---|---|
| Score:  | Selected Indicator clearly applies to   | Selected Indicator vaguely applies to   |   |
| Score   | project detailed in application.  | project detailed in application.  | Selected Indicator does not apply to<br>project detailed in application.                          |
|   |   |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Relevance of Activity to Indicator -  | 0.5 to  | 0   | 0   |
| A1  | Described activity is completely and  | Described activity is vaguely related to  |   |
| Score:  | clearly related to selected Indicator.  | selected Indicator.   | Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.  |
|   |   |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Quality of Description - A1   | 3 to 2.5  | 2 to 0.5  | 0   |
| If Relevance to Project - A1 or<br>Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A1<br>is zero, score the entire Indicator - A1<br>zero. | Provided description clearly<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator. | Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.       | Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing Indicator.       |
| Score:<br>Primary Pathway Indicator - A2  | High Points   | Mid Points  | Low Points  |
| Finnary Fannway indicator - Az  |   |   | Low Folitis   |
| Relevance to Project - A2   | 0.5 to  | 0   | 0   |
| Coorei  | Selected Indicator clearly applies to   | Selected Indicator vaguely applies to   |   |
| Score:  | project detailed in application.  | project detailed in application.  | Selected Indicator does not apply to<br>project detailed in application.                          |
|   |   |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Relevance of Activity to Indicator -  | 0.5 to  | 0   | 0   |
| A2  | Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.     | Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.                    | Described activity is not related to  |
| Score:  |   |   | Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.  |
|   |   |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Quality of Description - A2<br>If Relevance to Project - A2 or  | 3 to 2.5  | 2 to 0.5  | 0   |
| Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A2<br>is zero, score the entire Indicator - A2<br>zero.                                    | Provided description clearly<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator. | Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.       | Provided description is unclear and/or<br>does not demonstrate applicant<br>performing Indicator. |
| Score:  |   |   |   |
| Primary Pathway Indicator - A3  | High Points   | Mid Points  | Low Points  |
|   |   |   | '   |
| Relevance to Project - A3   | 0.5 to  | 0   | 0   |
| Score:  | Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.          | Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.          | Selected Indicator does not apply to  |
|   |   |   | project detailed in application.<br>(Zero score for entire Indicator)                             |
|   |   |   |   |
| Relevance of Activity to Indicator -<br>A3  | 0.5 to  | 0   | 0   |
| Score:  | Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.     | Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.                    | Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.  |
|   |   |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Quality of Description - A3   | 3 to 2.5  | 2 to 0.5  | 0   |
| If Relevance to Project - A3 or<br>Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A3<br>is zero, score the entire Indicator - A3<br>zero. | Provided description clearly<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator. | Provided description vaguely<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator. | Provided description is unclear and/or<br>does not demonstrate applicant<br>performing Indicator. |
| Score:  |   |   |   |

| Primary Pathway Indicator A4  | High Points  | Mid Points  | Low Points  |
|---|--|---|---|
|   |  |   |   |
| Relevance to Project - A4   | 0.5 to   | 0   | 0   |
| Score:  | Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.           | Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.          | Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.                             |
|   |  |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Relevance of Activity to Indicator -<br>A4  | 0.5 to   | 0   | 0   |
| Score:  | Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.      | Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.                    | Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.  |
|   |  |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Quality of Description - A4   | 3 to 2.5   | 2 to 0.5  | 0   |
| If Relevance to Project - A4 or<br>Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A4<br>is zero, score the entire Indicator - A4<br>zero.           | Provided description clearly<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator.  | Provided description vaguely<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator. | Provided description is unclear and/or<br>does not demonstrate applicant<br>performing Indicator. |
| Score:  |  |   |   |
| Primary Pathway Indicator A5  | High Points  | Mid Points  | Low Points  |
| Relevance to Project - A5   | 0.5 to   | 0   | 0   |
|   | Selected Indicator clearly applies to  | Selected Indicator vaguely applies to   |   |
| Score:  | project detailed in application.   | project detailed in application.  | Selected Indicator does not apply to<br>project detailed in application.                          |
|   |  |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Relevance of Activity to Indicator -<br>A5  | 0.5 to   | 0   | 0   |
| Score:  | Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.      | Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.                    | Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.  |
|   |  |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Quality of Description - A5   | 3 to 2.5   | 2 to 0.5  | 0   |
| If Relevance to Project - A5 or<br>Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A5<br>is zero, score the entire Indicator - A5<br>zero.<br>Score: | •Provided description clearly<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator. | Provided description vaguely<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator. | Provided description is unclear and/or<br>does not demonstrate applicant<br>performing Indicator. |
| Any Pathway Indicator B6  | High Points  | Mid Points  | Low Points  |
|   |  | 1   | '   |
| Relevance to Project - B6   | 0.5 to   | 0   | 0   |
| Score:  | Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.           | Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.          | Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.                             |
|   |  |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Relevance of Activity to Indicator -  | 0.5 to   | 0   | 0   |
| B6 Score:   | Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.      | Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.                    | Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.  |
|   |  |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |

| Quality of Description - B6  | 3 to 2.5   | 2 to 0.5   | 0   |
|--|--|--|---|
| If Relevance to Project - B6 or<br>Relevance of Activity to Indicator - B6<br>is zero, score the entire Indicator - B6<br>zero.              | Provided description clearly<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator.            | Provided description vaguely<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator.    | Provided description is unclear and/or<br>does not demonstrate applicant<br>performing Indicator. |
| Score:   | Llink Dainte   | Mid Dainte   | Levy Deinte   |
| Any Pathway Indicator B7   | High Points  | Mid Points   | Low Points  |
| Relevance to Project - B7  | 0.5 to   | 0  | 0   |
| Score:   | Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.                     | Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.             | Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.                             |
|  |  |  | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Relevance of Activity to Indicator -   | 0.5 to   | 0  | 0   |
| C7 Score:  | Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.                | Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.                       | Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.  |
|  |  |  | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Any Pathway Indicator B7   | 3 to 2.5   | 2 to 0.5   | 0   |
| If Relevance to Project - B7 or<br>Relevance of Activity to Indicator - B7<br>is zero, score the entire Indicator - B7<br>zero.<br>Score:    | Provided description clearly<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator.            | Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.          | Provided description is unclear and/or<br>does not demonstrate applicant<br>performing Indicator. |
|  | High Points  | Mid Points   | Low Points  |
| Career Ready Practices or Cluster<br>Skills Indicator C8   | nigh Points  | Mia Points   | Low Points  |
| Relevance to Project - C8  | 0.5 to   | 0  | 0   |
| Score:   | Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.                     | Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.             | Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.                             |
|  |  |  | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Relevance of Activity to Indicator -   | 0.5 to   | 0  | 0   |
| C8 Score:  | Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.                | Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.                       | Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.  |
|  |  |  | (Zero score for entire Indicator)   |
| Career Ready and Cluster Indicator -   | 3 to 2.5   | 2 to 0.5   | 0   |
| <b>C8</b><br>If Relevance to Project - C8 or<br>Relevance of Activity to Indicator - C8<br>is zero, score the entire Indicator - C8<br>zero. | Provided description clearly<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator.            | Provided description vaguely<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator.    | Provided description is unclear and/or<br>does not demonstrate applicant<br>performing Indicator. |
| Score:   |  |  |   |
| Career Ready Practices or Cluster<br>Skills Indicator C9   | High Points  | Mid Points   | Low Points  |
|  |  |  | 1   |
|  | 0.5 to   | 0  | 0   |
| Relevance to Project - C9<br>Score:  | <b>0.5 to</b><br>Selected Indicator clearly applies to<br>project detailed in application. | <b>0</b><br>Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application. | <b>0</b><br>Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.                 |

| Relevance of Activity to Indicator -   | 0.5 to  | 0   | 0  |
|--|---|---|--|
| C9   | Described activity is completely and  | Described activity is vaguely related to  |  |
| Score:   | clearly related to selected Indicator.  | selected Indicator.   | Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.   |
|  |   |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)  |
| Career Ready and Cluster Indicator -   | 3 to 2.5  | 2 to 0.5  | 0  |
| <b>C9</b><br>If Relevance to Project - C9 or<br>Relevance of Activity to Indicator - C9<br>is zero, score the entire Indicator - C9<br>zero. | Provided description clearly<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator.   | Provided description vaguely<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator.   | Provided description is unclear and/or<br>does not demonstrate applicant<br>performing Indicator.  |
| Score:   |   |   |  |
| Career Ready Practices or Cluster<br>Skills Indicator C10  | High Points   | Mid Points  | Low Points   |
| Relevance to Project - C10   | 0.5 to  | 0   | 0  |
| Score:   | Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.  | Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.  | Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.  |
|  |   |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)  |
| Relevance of Activity to Indicator -<br>C10  | 0.5 to  | 0   | 0  |
| Score:   | Described activity is completely and<br>clearly related to selected Indicator.  | Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.  | Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.   |
|  |   |   | (Zero score for entire Indicator)  |
| Career Ready and Cluster Indicator -<br>C10  | 3 to 2.5  | 2 to 0.5  | 0  |
| If Relevance to Project - C10 or<br>Relevance of Activity to Indicator - C10<br>is zero, score the entire Indicator -<br>C10 zero.           | Provided description clearly<br>demonstrates applicant performing<br>Indicator.   | Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.   | Provided description is unclear and/or<br>does not demonstrate applicant<br>performing Indicator.  |
| Score:   |   |   |  |
| Photos   | High Points   | Mid Points  | Low Points   |
| Safety Photos  | 2   | 1   | 0.5 to 0   |
| Photo Pages 1-2 Score:   | Candidate submitted two high quality<br>photos with clear and specific captions<br>that demonstrate and describe safety<br>practices relevant to the project<br>described in the application. | Candidate submitted two quality<br>photos with vague and general<br>captions that somewhat demonstrate<br>and describe safety practices relevant<br>to the project described in the<br>application. | Candidate submitted two photos or<br>fewer photos with captions that very<br>generally or poorly demonstrate and<br>describe safety practices relevant to<br>the project described in the application. |
| Project Photos   | 4 to 3  | 2 to 1  | 0.5 to 0   |
| Photo Pages 3-6<br>Score:  | Candidate submitted four high quality photos with clearly descriptive captions that demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE.  | Candidate submitted four quality<br>photos with slightly vague captions that<br>marginally demonstrate the overall<br>growth and success of the SAE.  | Candidate submitted four poor quality<br>photos with non-descriptive captions<br>that poorly demonstrate the overall<br>growth and success of the SAE or<br>submits fewer than four photos.            |
| Supplemental Information   | High Points   | Mid Points  | Low Points   |
|  |   |   |  |
| Supplemental Information   | 2   | 1   | 0  |
| Score:   | Candidate submitted at least one additional page of SAE related information that <u>added value</u> to the application.   | Candidate submitted an additional page(s) of SAE related information that added limited value to the application.   | the page(s) <u>added little or no value</u> to the application.  |
| Spelling and Grammar   | High Points   | Mid Points  | Low Points   |

| Spelling and Grammar  | 2  | 1   | 0   |
|---|--|---|---|
| Score:  | Candidate makes no errors in grammar<br>or spelling that distracts the reader<br>from the content.   | Candidate makes a few grammar<br>and/or spelling errors that distract the<br>reader from the content. | Candidate makes numerous grammar<br>and/or spelling errors that distracts the<br>reader from the content. |
| Staff Review  |  |   |   |
| Application needs staff review.   | YES  |   | NO  |
| Provide detailed explanation of what<br>staff should review in comments,<br>including the specific section of the<br>application in question. | <ul> <li>FLAG - please use the yellow comments (right) to specifically describe reason for flag.</li> <li>PLEASE NOTE: Please use the Padlet Discussion Board to ask staff questions and get answers. Questions asked here cannot be responded to in a timely manner.</li> </ul> |   | NO FLAG - No staff review needed.   |
| Publish Story Recommendation  | YES  |   | NO  |
|   | Publish Recommendation   |   | No Recommendation   |
|   | The project described in this<br>application is unique, unusual or<br>especially interesting. Staff should<br>review for possible use in magazine or<br>social media stories.<br>This recommendation has zero impact<br>on spore or rank of application guality.                 |   | Zero impact on score or rank of application.  |
| Total: of 100   | on score or rank of application, quality<br>of application is not relevant.  |   |   |