## Proficiency Entrepreneurship 2025

		preneurship 2025	
Performance Review A	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
SAE Description & Explanation	3	2 to 1	0 to -1
Performance Review A, Question 1 Score:	Clearly and specifically describes what the project is and how member started it. If project involves non-cash exchanges or trades they are specifically explained.	Provides a basic explanation of the project but lacks clear and specific detail of what project is and how member started it. If present non-cash or trade arrangements are mentioned but lack specific details.	Provides a vague/unclear understanding of what the project is and/or how member started it. If present, non-cash or trade arrangements are mentioned but do not provide enough detail to be clearly understood.
Roles, Responsibilities and/or	1	0.5	0
Management Decisions Made	Response demonstrates a clear	Response demonstrates a limited	Response demonstrates little or no
Performance Review A, Question 2 Description	description of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program.	description of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program.	description of roles, responsibilities, and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program.
Score:			
Roles, Responsibilities and/or	2 to 1.5	1.5 to 0.5	0 to -1
Management Decisions Made Performance Review A, Question 2 Change or progression (growth)	Response demonstrates significant change or progression (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented.	Response demonstrates limited change or progression (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented.	Response demonstrates no change or progression (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented.
Score:			
Challenges	1	0.5	0
Performance Review A, Question 3 Explanation	Response demonstrates a complete explanation of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge.	Response demonstrates a limited explanation of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge.	Response demonstrates little or no explanation of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge.
Score:			
Challenges	2	1.5 to 0.5	0 to -1
Performance Review A, Question 3 Involvement	Response demonstrated candidate's complete involvement in addressing the challenge.	Response demonstrated candidate's limited involvement in addressing the challenge.	Response demonstrated no involvement in addressing the challenge.
Score:			
Performance Review B	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
Progress - Accomplishments &	3	2 to 1	0 to -1
Awareness	Response clearly identifies and	Response identifies and describes an	Response identifies and describes an
Performance Review B, Question 1	describes a measurable accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project.	accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project but provides little clarity, detail or measurability.	accomplishment that had little or no influence on the growth and success of the project or provides no clarity, detail or measurability.
Score:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Progress - Accomplishments & Awareness	3	2 to 1	0 to -1
Performance Review B, Question 2	Response clearly identifies and describes a measurable accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project.	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project but provides little clarity, detail or measurability.	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that had little or no influence on the growth and success of the project or provides no clarity, detail or measurability.
Score:			

Progress - Accomplishments &	3	2 to 1	0 to -1
Awareness - Issue/Trend/Tech/Policy Impact	Response clearly identifies one issue, trend, technology or public policy that impacted the project. Describes the	Response identifies an issue, trend, technology or public policy that impacted the project. Describes the	Response does not identify a relevant issue, trend, technology or public policy that impacted the project. Impact
Performance Review B, Question 3	specific & measurable impact in detail.	impacted the project. Describes the impact vaguely and/or impact description is not specifically measurable.	is poorly or not at all described or understood or had no measurable impact.
Score:		1	1 •
Performance Review C	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
Career Exploration & Development	2	1	0 to -1
Performance Review C, Question 1	Career objective is clear and in a specific career field. Career exploration and research steps to research this choice are described in detail. NOTE: Career objective does not have	Career objective is vague and is more of a general field rather than a specific career. Exploration and research steps are not clear or specific. <b>NOTE:</b> Career objective does not have	Career objective is missing or not well defined. Little to no exploration or career research is described. <b>NOTE:</b> Career objective does not have to be related to agriculture or natural
Score:	to be related to agriculture or natural resources.	to be related to agriculture or natural resources.	resources.
Career Exploration & Development	3	2 to 1	0 to -1
Performance Review C, Question 2 Score:	Experience/activity/opportunity described is clear and specific. Convincingly describes how the activity provided preparation for named career.	Experience/activity/opportunity is vaguely described. How the activity provided preparation for named career is only vaguely shown or is unrealistic.	Experience/activity/opportunity is missing or not well described. How the activity provided preparation for named career is not established or is wildly unrealistic.
Career Exploration & Development	3	2 to 1	0 to -1
Performance Review C, Question 3 Score:	Experience/activity/opportunity described is clear and specific.	Experience/activity/opportunity is vaguely described. How the activity	Experience/activity/opportunity is missing or not well described. How the
	Convincingly describes how the activity provided preparation for named career.	provided preparation for named career is only vaguely shown or is unrealistic.	activity provided preparation for named career is not established or is wildly unrealistic.
Financial Information			
Performance Review D #1	2 to 1.5	1 to 0.5	0 to -1
Source of Assets	Response clearly identifies source of assets to start project detailed in application, including full details of how assets were obtained.	Response identifies source of assets to start project detailed in application but description of how initial assets were obtained is vague.	Response does not identify the source of the assets to start this project and/or description of how initial assets were obtained is very limited or missing.
Score:			
Performance Review D #2	2 to 1.5	1 to 0.5	0 to -1
Decisions Score:	Description of how financial records were used in decision making is clear and specific. Convincingly describes using financial records.	Description of how financial records were used in decision making lacks specifics. Description of how financial records were used to guide decision is limited and/or unrealistic.	Description of how financial records were used in decision making is missing or or very vague. Description of how financial records were used to guide decision is not described and/or
SAE Entrepreneurship	High Points	Mid Points	wildly unrealistic. Low Points
SAE Name & Description	2 to 1.5	1	0.5 to 0
Score:	Provides a complete SAE description, size, scope, responsibilities or hours and/or income.	Limited or vague SAE description, size, scope, responsibilities or hours and/or income.	Little to no SAE description, size, scope and responsibilities or hours and/or income.
Size/Scope of Enterprise	4 to 3.5	3 to 2	1.5 to 0
Score:	Shows significant growth, in diversification, responsibilities or hours and/or income and engagement over time period and opportunities represented.	Shows limited growth, in diversification, responsibilities or hours and/or income and engagement over time period and opportunities represented.	Shows little or no growth, in diversification, responsibilities or hours and/or income and engagement over time period and opportunities represented.
Income and Expenses, Current and Non-Current Ending Inventories	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points

Income and Expense Statement			
	4 to 3	2 to 1	0.5 to 0
Score:	Financial records (revenue and expenses) and net income from operations are very reasonable for the size and type of agriculturally related program reported (also review SAE details). Also, the net non-current transactions and Annual Review of Non-Current Inventory and Operating Profit (#6) are reasonable for the size and type of operations listed.	Financial records (revenue and expenses) and net income from operations are reasonable for the size and type of agriculturally related program reported (also review SAE details). Also, the net non-current transactions and Annual Review of Non-Current Inventory and Operating Profit (#6) are somewhat reasonable for the size and type of operations listed.	Financial records (revenue and expenses) and net income from operations are not reasonable for the size and type of agriculturally related program reported (also review SAE details). Also, the net non-current transactions and Annual Review of Non-Current Inventory and Operating Profit (#6) are not reasonable for the size and type of operations listed.
Ending Current Inventory	2	1	0
Score:	The listing of Ending Current Inventory are reasonable for the size and type of SAE program and correspond to information listed in SAE explanation. (Note: Lack of current inventory may be appropriate for the SAE(s) and if explained in Performance A 1 &2, full credit maybe given in this area).	The listing of Ending Current Inventory are somewhat reasonable for the size and type of SAE program and correspond to information listed in SAE explanation. (Note: Lack of current inventory may be appropriate for the SAE(s), but not well explained in Performance A 1 & 2).	The listing of Ending Current Inventory are not reasonable for the size and type of SAE program and correspond to information listed in SAE explanation. (Note: Lack of current inventory is not appropriate for the SA (s), and not explained in Performance A 1 & 2).
Ending Non-Current Inventory	2	1	0
Score:	The listing of item(s) in Ending Non- Current Inventory, their total value, depreciation claimed (if applicable) and cost are reasonable for the size and type of SAE program and correspond to information listed in SAE explanation. ( <i>Note: Lack of inventory</i> may be appropriate for the SAE(s) and if explained in Performance A 1 &2, full credit maybe given in this area.	The listing of item(s) in Ending Non- Current Inventory, their total value, depreciation claimed (if applicable) and cost are somewhat reasonable for the size and type of SAE program and correspond to information listed in SAE explanation. ( <i>Note: Lack of inventory</i> <i>may be appropriate for the SAE(s), but</i> <i>not well explained in Performance A 1</i> &2).	The listing of item(s) in Ending Non- Current Inventory, their total value, depreciation claimed (if applicable) and cost are not reasonable for the size and type of SAE program and correspond to information listed in SAE explanation. (Note: Lack of inventory is not appropriate for the SAE(s), and no explained in Performance A 1 & 2).
Learning Outcomes & Efficiency Factors	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
Learning Outcomes & Efficiency Factors	6 to 4	3 to 2	1 to 0
Score:	4 or more responses reflective of SAE. Each clearly demonstrates measurable improvement of efficiencies over time period reported, or clearly identifies how poor efficiencies led to decision	2-3 responses, or listed responses are not clearly measurable or only partially demonstrate improvements of efficiencies over time period reported, or partially identifies how poor	2- 0 responses or responses are not measurable or do not demonstrate or relate improvements of efficiencies to the SAE over time period reported, or does not identify how poor efficiencies
	improving overall SAE enterprises or activities.	efficiencies led to decision improving overall SAE enterprises or activities.	enterprises or activities.
Primary Pathway Indicator - A1			lead to decision improving overall SAE enterprises or activities. Low Points
	activities. High Points	overall SAE enterprises or activities. Mid Points	enterprises or activities.
Primary Pathway Indicator - A1 Relevance to Project - A1 Score:	activities.	overall SAE enterprises or activities.	enterprises or activities.
Relevance to Project - A1	Activities. High Points 0.5 to Selected Indicator clearly applies to	overall SAE enterprises or activities. Mid Points <b>0</b> Selected Indicator vaguely applies to	enterprises or activities. Low Points 0 Selected Indicator does not apply to
Relevance to Project - A1 Score:	Activities. High Points <b>0.5 to</b> Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.	overall SAE enterprises or activities. Mid Points <b>0</b> Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.	enterprises or activities. Low Points 0 Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application. (Zero Score for entire Indicator)
Relevance to Project - A1	Activities. High Points 0.5 to Selected Indicator clearly applies to	overall SAE enterprises or activities. Mid Points <b>0</b> Selected Indicator vaguely applies to	enterprises or activities. Low Points 0 Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.
Relevance to Project - A1 Score: Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A1	Activities. High Points 0.5 to Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application. 0.5 to Described activity is completely and	overall SAE enterprises or activities. Mid Points  O Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.  O Described activity is vaguely related to	enterprises or activities. Low Points 0 Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application. (Zero Score for entire Indicator) 0 Described activity is not related to
Relevance to Project - A1 Score: Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A1 Score: Quality of Description - A1	Activities. High Points 0.5 to Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application. 0.5 to Described activity is completely and	overall SAE enterprises or activities. Mid Points  O Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.  O Described activity is vaguely related to	enterprises or activities. Low Points 0 Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application. (Zero Score for entire Indicator) 0 Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.
Relevance to Project - A1 Score: Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A1	Activities. High Points 0.5 to Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application. 0.5 to Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.	overall SAE enterprises or activities. Mid Points  O Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.  O Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.	enterprises or activities. Low Points 0 Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application. (Zero Score for entire Indicator) 0 Described activity is not related to selected Indicator. (Zero for entire Indicator)

Relevance to Project - A2	0.5 to	0	0
Score:	Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.
			(Zero Score for entire Indicator)
Relevance of Activity to Indicator -	0.5 to	0	0
A2 Score:	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.
			(Zero for entire Indicator)
Quality of Description - A2	3 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
If Relevance to Project - A2 or Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A2 is zero, score the entire Indicator - A2 zero.	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing Indicator.
Score:			
Primary Pathway Indicator - A3	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
Relevance to Project - A3	0.5 to	0	0
	Selected Indicator clearly applies to	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to	
Score:	project detailed in application.	project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.
			(Zero Score for entire Indicator)
Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A3	0.5 to	0	0
Score:	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.
			(Zero for entire Indicator)
Quality of Description - A3	3 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
If Relevance to Project - A3 or Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A3 is zero, score the entire Indicator - A3 zero.	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing Indicator.
Score:			
Primary Pathway Indicator A4	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
Relevance to Project - A4	0.5 to	0	0
Score:	Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.
			(Zero Score for entire Indicator)
Relevance of Activity to Indicator -	0.5 to	0	0
A4	Described activity is completely and	Described activity is vaguely related to	
Score:	clearly related to selected Indicator.	selected Indicator.	Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.
			(Zero for entire Indicator)
Quality of Description - A4	3 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
If Relevance to Project - A4 or Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A4 is zero, score the entire Indicator - A4 zero.	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing Indicator.
Score:			

Primary Pathway Indicator A5	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
Delegence (a Desired, AC			
Relevance to Project - A5	0.5 to	0	0
Score:	Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.
			(Zero Score for entire Indicator)
Relevance of Activity to Indicator -	0.5 to	0	0
A5 Score:	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.
			(Zero for entire Indicator)
Quality of Description - A5	3 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
If Relevance to Project - A5 or Relevance of Activity to Indicator - A5 is zero, score the entire Indicator - A5 zero.	•Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing Indicator.
Score:			
Any Pathway Indicator B6	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
Relevance to Project - B6	0.5 to	0	0
Score:	Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.
			(Zero Score for entire Indicator)
Relevance of Activity to Indicator -	0.5 to	0	0
B6	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is vaguely related to	Described and the family standard standards
Score:	clearly related to selected indicator.	selected Indicator.	Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.
			(Zero for entire Indicator)
Quality of Description - B6	3 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
If Relevance to Project - B6 or Relevance of Activity to Indicator - B6 is zero, score the entire Indicator - B6 zero. Score:	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing Indicator.
Any Pathway Indicator B7	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
		1	1
Relevance to Project - B7	0.5 to	0	0
2	Selected Indicator clearly applies to	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to	
Score:	project detailed in application.	project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.
			(Zero Score for entire Indicator)
Relevance of Activity to Indicator -	0.5 to	0	0
C7	Described activity is completely and	Described activity is vaguely related to	
Score:	clearly related to selected Indicator.	selected Indicator.	Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.

Any Pathway Indicator B7	3 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
If Relevance to Project - B7 or Relevance of Activity to Indicator - B7 is zero, score the entire Indicator - B7 zero.	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing Indicator.
Score: Career Ready Practices or Cluster Skills Indicator C8	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
Relevance to Project - C8	0.5 to	0	0
Score:	Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.
			(Zero Score for entire Indicator)
Relevance of Activity to Indicator -	0.5 to	0	0
C8 Score:	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.
			(Zero for entire Indicator)
Career Ready and Cluster Indicator -	3 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
<b>C8</b> If Relevance to Project - C8 or Relevance of Activity to Indicator - C8 is zero, score the entire Indicator - C8 zero.	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing Indicator.
Score:			
Career Ready Practices or Cluster Skills Indicator C9	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
Relevance to Project - C9	0.5 to	0	0
Score:	Selected Indicator clearly applies to project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator vaguely applies to project detailed in application.	Selected Indicator does not apply to project detailed in application.
			(Zero Score for entire Indicator)
Relevance of Activity to Indicator -	0.5 to	0	0
C9 Score:	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.
			(Zero for entire Indicator)
Career Ready and Cluster Indicator -	3 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
<b>C9</b> f Relevance to Project - C9 or Relevance of Activity to Indicator - C9 s zero, score the entire Indicator - C9 zero.	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing Indicator.
Contra	1		Law Deinte
Score:	High Doints	Mid Dointo	
Career Ready Practices or Cluster	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
Career Ready Practices or Cluster Skills Indicator C10	High Points 0.5 to	Mid Points 0	0
Score: Career Ready Practices or Cluster Skills Indicator C10 Relevance to Project - C10 Score:			

Relevance of Activity to Indicator - C10	0.5 to	0	0
Score:	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is vaguely related to selected Indicator.	Described activity is not related to selected Indicator.
			(Zero for entire Indicator)
Career Ready and Cluster Indicator -	3 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
C10 If Relevance to Project - C10 or Relevance of Activity to Indicator - C10 is zero, score the entire Indicator - C10 zero.	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing Indicator.	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing Indicator.
Score: Photos	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
Safety Photos	2	1	0.5 to 0
Photo Pages 1-2 Score:	Candidate submitted two high quality photos with clear and specific captions that demonstrate and describe safety practices relevant to the project described in the application.	Candidate submitted two quality photos with vague and general captions that somewhat demonstrate and describe safety practices relevant to the project described in the application.	Candidate submitted two photos or fewer photos with captions that very generally or poorly demonstrate and describe safety practices relevant to the project described in the application.
Project Photos	4 to 3	2 to 1	0.5 to 0
Photo Pages 3-6 Score:	Candidate submitted four high quality photos with clearly descriptive captions that demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE.	Candidate submitted four quality photos with slightly vague captions that marginally demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE.	Candidate submitted four poor quality photos with non-descriptive captions that poorly demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE or submits fewer than four photos.
Supplemental Information	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
		I	I
Supplemental Information	2	1	0
Score:	Candidate submitted at least one additional page of SAE related information that <u>added value</u> to the application.	Candidate submitted an additional page(s) of SAE related information that added limited value to the application.	Candidate submitted no additional page(s) of SAE related information or the page(s) <u>added little or no value</u> to the application.
Spelling and Grammar	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
Spelling and Grammar	2	1	0
Score:	Candidate makes no errors in grammar or spelling that distracts the reader from the content.	Candidate makes a few grammar and/or spelling errors that distract the reader from the content.	Candidate makes numerous grammar and/or spelling errors that distracts the reader from the content.
Staff Review	Yes		No
Application needs staff review.	YES		NO
Provide detailed explanation of what staff should review in comments, including the specific section of the application in question.	FLAG - please use the yellow comments (right) to specifically describe describe reason for flag.		<b>NO FLAG</b> - This project is in the correct category.
	PLEASE NOTE: Please use the Padlet Discussion Board to ask staff questions and get answers. Questions asked here cannot be responded to in a timely manner.		

Publish Story Recommendation	YES	NO
	Publish Recommendation	No Recommendation
	The project described in this application is unique, unusual or especially interesting. Staff should review for possible use in magazine or social media stories. This recommendation has zero impact on score or rank of application, qualify of application is not relevant.	Zero impact on score or rank of application.
Total: of 100		