## National ASF Written Report 2025 - Divisions 3-6

	High Points	Medium Points	Low Points
	nigh Folinis		
Abstract The abstract describes the purpose, methods, results and conclusions. Score:	<b>3</b> • Abstract is brief and concise. • Does not include cited references. • No longer than one page. • Purpose, procedure, results and conclusions are clear.	<b>2 to 1</b> • Abstract is vaguely written. • Does not include cited references. • Longer than one page. • Purpose, procedure, results and conclusions are vague.	<b>1 to 0</b> • Abstract is poorly written. • Cited references are included. • Longer than one page. • Purpose, procedure, results and conclusions are unclear.
Introduction Introduction answers the question "Why was the work done?" Score: Literature Review The literature review details what information currently exists concerning the research project. Score:	10 to 7 • <u>Clearly</u> states the problem that justifies conducting the research, • the purpose of the research, • its impact on agriculture, • the findings of earlier work, and • the general approach and objectives. 10 to 7 The information includes materials used in the research and material cited such as: • articles about similar studies, • similar research methods, • history of the research area, and • other items that support the current knowledge base for the topic, and • how the project might complement existing information.	6 to 4 • <u>Vaguely</u> states the problem that justifies conducting the research, • the purpose of the research, • its impact on agriculture, • the findings of earlier work, and • the general approach and objectives. 6 to 4 The literature review poorly details what information currently exists concerning the research project. The information may or may not include materials used in the research. <u>Some</u> materials cited include: • articles about similar studies, • similar research methods, and • history of the research area. • How the project might complement existing information is <u>not clear</u> .	3 to 0 • <u>Does not</u> state the problem that justifies conducting the research, • the purpose of the research, • its impact on agriculture, • the findings of earlier work, and • the general approach and objectives. 3 to 0 The literature review <u>does not</u> detail what information currently exists concerning the research project. There is no information included or it does not reference materials used in the research. <u>No</u> information cited such as: • articles about similar studies, • similar research methods, or • history of the research area. • How the project might complement
Materials and Methods Enables others to replicate the study and results. Score:	<b>15 to 11</b> • Clearly written for replication. • Written in third person. • Encompasses all materials required, • States the hypothesis/research questions, • Explains the study design. A <u>narration</u> of the steps taken to complete the experiment is included. If used, the statistical procedures are included.	<b>10 to 5</b> • Not written clearly for replication. • May or may not be written in third person, • Encompasses all materials required, • States the hypothesis/research questions, • Explains the study design. A <u>narration</u> of the steps taken to complete the experiment is included. The statistical procedures are included but are unclear.	existing information is not clear. 4 to 0 • Written poorly so others cannot replicate the study and results. • Not written in third person, • Does not encompass all materials required for the research • Hypothesis/research questions are not stated. Steps taken to complete the experiment are <u>listed</u> . The statistical procedures are not included.
Results	20 to 14	13 to 7	6 to 0
Score:	<ul> <li>Written results of the project are summarized.</li> <li>Trends and relationships are clearly addressed.</li> <li>No conclusions are made in this section.</li> <li>Data that can stand alone in the form of tables and/or figures are included.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Written results of the project are incompletely summarized.</li> <li>Trends and relationships are vague.</li> <li>No conclusions are made in this section.</li> <li>Data that can stand alone in the form of tables and/or figures are sometimes included.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Written results of the project are poorly summarized.</li> <li>Trends and relationships are not addressed.</li> <li>Data is not appropriately included as tables and figures.</li> </ul>
Discussion and Conclusions	15 to 11	10 to 5	4 to 0
Discussion refers/references to facts and figures in results section and provides recommendations for practice, future research and the impact on the agriculture industry. Score:	• Brief recap of the results is included and shows how they were the foundation of the study.	• Brief recap of the results is included and shows how they were the foundation of the study.	• No recap of the results is included or poorly shows how they were the foundation for the study.
	Sound reasoning is shown that conclusions are based on: • results, • incorporates previous literature, • relates directly to the hypothesis.	Unsound reasoning is shown that conclusions are based on: • results, • vaguely incorporates previous literature, • partially relates to the hypothesis.	Conclusions are not based on: • results, • previous literature • do not relate directly to the hypothesis. Discussion is poorly written and does not provide recommendations.
Acknowledgements	3	2 to 1	0
Score:	Detailed list or paragraph is included acknowledging anyone who assisted with any aspect of the project and how they helped.	A list or paragraph is included acknowledging anyone who assisted with any aspect of the project.	A list or paragraph is not included acknowledging anyone who assisted with any aspect of the project and how they helped.

References	2	1	0
Score:	References contain significant, published and relevant sources.	References listed are somewhat significant, published and relevant sources.	References listed are not significant, published and relevant sources.
APA Style/ Spelling	2	1	0
Score:	<ul> <li>APA citation style writing is used throughout the report.</li> <li>No spelling or grammar errors are present.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>APA citation style writing is used.</li> <li>Minor spelling or grammar errors are present.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>APA citation style writing is not used.</li> <li>Excessive spelling or grammar errors are present.</li> </ul>
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge within Primary Pathway	High Points	Medium Points	Low Points
Primary Pathway: Standard A1	4 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
If bullet 1 or 2 is zero, score the entire standard zero.	•Selected standard <u>clearly applies</u> to project detailed in application (max 0.5). If standard doesn't apply -> click 0	•Selected standard <u>vaguely applies</u> to project detailed in application (max 0). <i>If standard doesn't apply -&gt; click 0</i>	(Zero Score for entire standard) •Selected standard <u>does not apply</u> to project detailed in application.
	<ul> <li>points.</li> <li>Described activity is <u>completely and</u> <u>clearly related</u> to selected standard (max 0.5). <i>If standard doesn't apply -&gt;</i> <i>click 0 points.</i></li> <li>Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard (max 3).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>points.</li> <li>Described activity is <u>vaguely related</u> to selected standard (max 0). <i>If standard doesn't apply -&gt; click 0 points.</i></li> <li>Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard (max 2).</li> </ul>	
Primary Pathway: Standard A2	4 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
If bullet 1 or 2 is zero, score the entire standard zero. Score:	•Selected standard <u>clearly applies</u> to project detailed in application (max 0.5). If standard doesn't apply -> click 0 points.	•Selected standard <u>vaguely applies</u> to project detailed in application (max 0). <i>If standard doesn't apply -&gt; click 0</i> <i>points.</i>	(Zero Score for entire standard) •Selected standard <u>does not apply</u> to project detailed in application.
	<ul> <li>Described activity is <u>completely and</u> <u>clearly related</u> to selected standard (max 0.5). <i>If standard doesn't apply -&gt; click 0 points.</i></li> <li>Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard (max 3).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Described activity is <u>vaguely related</u> to selected standard (max 0). <i>If standard doesn't apply -&gt; click 0 points.</i></li> <li>Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard (max 2).</li> </ul>	<i>or</i> •Described activity is <u>not related</u> to selected standard.
Primary Pathway: Standard A3	4 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
If bullet 1 or 2 is zero, score the entire standard zero. Score:	•Selected standard <u>clearly applies</u> to project detailed in application (max 0.5). If standard doesn't apply -> click 0 points.	•Selected standard <u>vaguely applies</u> to project detailed in application (max 0). <i>If standard doesn't apply -&gt; click 0</i> <i>points.</i>	(Zero Score for entire standard) •Selected standard <u>does not apply</u> to project detailed in application.
	•Described activity is <u>completely and</u> <u>clearly related</u> to selected standard (max 0.5). If standard doesn't apply -> click 0 points.	<ul> <li>Described activity is <u>vaguely related</u> to selected standard (max 0). <i>If standard doesn't apply -&gt; click 0 points.</i></li> <li>Provided description vaguely</li> </ul>	or •Described activity is <u>not related</u> to selected standard.
	•Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard (max 3).	demonstrates applicant performing standard (max 2).	
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge within Any Pathway	High Points	Medium Points	Low Points
Any Pathway: Standard B1	4 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
If bullet 1 or 2 is zero, score the entire standard zero. Score:	•Selected standard <u>clearly applies</u> to project detailed in application (max 0.5). If standard doesn't apply -> click 0 points.	•Selected standard <u>vaguely applies</u> to project detailed in application (max 0). <i>If standard doesn't apply -&gt; click 0</i> <i>points.</i>	(Zero Score for entire standard) •Selected standard <u>does not apply</u> to project detailed in application.
	•Described activity is <u>completely and</u> <u>clearly related</u> to selected standard (max 0.5). <i>If standard doesn't apply -&gt;</i> <i>click 0 points.</i>	•Described activity is <u>vaguely related</u> to selected standard (max 0). <i>If standard</i> <i>doesn't apply -&gt; click 0 points</i> .	<i>or</i> •Described activity is <u>not related</u> to selected standard.
	•Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard (max 3).	•Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard (max 2).	

Any Pathway: Standard B2 If bullet 1 or 2 is zero, score the entire standard zero. Score:	4 to 2.5	2 to 0.5	0
	•Selected standard <u>clearly applies</u> to project detailed in application (max 0.5). If standard doesn't apply -> click 0	•Selected standard <u>vaguely applies</u> to project detailed in application (max 0). <i>If standard doesn't apply -&gt; click 0</i>	(Zero Score for entire standard) •Selected standard does not apply to
	points.	points.	project detailed in application.
	•Described activity is <u>completely and</u> clearly related to selected standard	•Described activity is <u>vaguely related</u> to selected standard (max 0). <i>If standard</i>	or
	(max 0.5). If standard doesn't apply -> click 0 points.	doesn't apply -> click 0 points.	<ul> <li>Described activity is <u>not related</u> to selected standard.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Provided description clearly</li> </ul>	•Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing	
	demonstrates applicant performing standard (max 3).	standard (max 2).	
The application needs to be reviewed by the review committee.	YES		NO
	FLAG - please use the yellow comments (right) to describe.		NO FLAG - This project is in the correct category.
Total: of 100			